



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/687,385	10/16/2003	DeQuan Yu	10541-1839	2129	
57444	7590 01/30/2006		EXAMINER		
	AUTOMOTIVE COMPONENTS HOLDINGS, LLC			MILLER, CARL STUART	
	ne Plaza, Fourth Floor	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
720 Water S	treet		3747		
Toledo, OH	43604-1853		DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/687,385	YU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Carl S. Miller	3747			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICER 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a distion. The period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONOR statute, cause the application to become Alexandre and the period will expire SIX (6) MONOR STATUTE AND THE PERIOD	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>02 November 2005</u> .				
•	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice u	ınder <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-9</u> is/are pending in the	ne application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	vithdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			*		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-9</u> is/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)) .		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).			
_	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	received in this National Stage			
application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action fo	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received			
	i a list of the defined copies flot				
Attachment(s)		·			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-S Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In particular, the applicant has added language to all of the independent claims that requires the pump to have a substantially constant output. The examiner has carefully reviewed the specification and cannot find language in the specification that specifically states that this is the case. In fact, the specification, (last page) implies that the output of the pump might be monitored in order to determine its output.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,385

Art Unit: 3747

Claims 1-2, 5-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morikawa in view of Minagawa. Morikawa and Minagawa apply as per the last office action.

Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morifawa and Minagawa as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Gaskins.

Gaskins applies as per the last office action.

Applicant's arguments filed 11/02/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In particular, the rejection under 35 USC 101 is, of course, self-explanatory, and the continued rejection under the art used in the last office action stems from the fact that the examiner cannot give weight to the new limitations of the independent claims. Thus, the art continues to apply as it did before the new limitations were added.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl S. Miller whose telephone number is 703-308-2653. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry YUEN, can be reached at 571-272-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,385 Page 4

Art Unit: 3747

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Can'S. Miller Primary Examiner